## **Report Example: Basic EMP Study**

Date: 7/31/2018 Gage: My Gage Characteristic: Thickness Operators (o): 3 Parts (p): 10 Trials (n): 3 Analyzed by: Bill USL: 3 LSL: -3 Process Average: Process Sigma: 2.5 Meas. Increment: 0.01

# **Operator-Part Control Charts**

## $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ Chart for Operator-Part Averages





#### **R** Chart for Operator-Part Ranges

#### **Control Chart Calculations**

| <u>X Chart</u> | $\overline{X}$ | $LCL = \overline{X} - A_2\overline{R}$ | $UCL = \overline{X} + A_2\overline{R}$ |
|----------------|----------------|--|--|
|                | 0.001          | -0.348                                 | 0.351                                  |
| <u>R Chart</u> | R              | $LCL = D_3\overline{R}$                | $UCL = D_4 \overline{R}$               |
|                | 0.342          | -                                      | 0.880                                  |

where  $A_2$ ,  $D_3$ , and  $D_4$  are control chart constants depending on subgroup size.

| A <sub>2</sub> | D <sub>3</sub> | $D_4$ |
|----------------|----------------|-------|
| 1.023          | -              | 2.574 |

#### **X** Chart Analysis

The  $\overline{X}$  chart shows the average value for each operator for each part. The control limits on the  $\overline{X}$  chart are based on the average range. The average range is representative of measurement error. The  $\overline{X}$  chart control limits represent the variation obscured by measurement error.

The relative utility of the measurement system increases:

- \* The more out of control points there on are on the  $\overline{X}$  chart.
- \* The further the out of control points are away from the control limits.

22 out of 30 points are out of control on the chart.

#### **R Chart Analysis**

The R chart shows the results for the repeated measurements for each operator for each part. It is a check of the consistency of the measurement process between the operators.

There is 1 out of control point on the R chart; the ranges are not consistent. The reason for the out of control point should be corrected and the study repeated.

There are 54.7 degrees of freedom associated with the average range. It is recommended to have at least 10 degrees of freedom.

#### ANOM Charts for Bias and Repeatability



# Main Effects (0.05 ANOME) Chart



Mean Range (0.05 ANOMR) Chart

where ANOME, LMR, and UMR are scaling factors that depend on the amount of data.

| ANOME <sub>0.05</sub> | LMR <sub>0.05</sub> | UMR <sub>0.05</sub> |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 0.209                 | 0.685               | 1.331               |  |  |

#### **Main Effects Chart Analysis**

This chart plots the average part values for each operator. The purpose of the chart is to check for operator bias. Points beyond the control limits are indications that bias exists.

*There is evidence of detectable bias between the operators. Review the ANOME chart for the differences.* 

## Mean Range Chart Analysis

This charts plot the average range values for each operator. The purpose of the chart is to see if the test-retest error is the same for each operator. Points beyond the control limits are indications that differences in repeatability exist.

#### *There is evidence of differences in the test-retest error between the operators. Review the ANOMR chart for the differences.*

|   | r) |
|---|----|
| $d_2 \qquad \sigma_{pe} = \overline{R}/d_2$ |    |

where  $d_2$  is a control chart constant depending on subgroup size.

| Probable Error (PE) and Measurement Increment |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| PE 0.136                                      | Probable Error (0.675 $\sigma_{pe}$ )    |  |  |  |
| 0.2(PE) 0.0272                                | Smallest Effective Measurement Increment |  |  |  |
| 2(PE) 0.272                                   | Largest Effective Measurement Increment  |  |  |  |

PE is the minimum medium error of the measurement process.

50% of the measurements will fall within +/- one PE.

PE defines the effective resolution of the measurement process.

The resolution should be between 0.2(PE) and 2(PE).

# The measurement increment (0.01) is less than 0.2(PE), increase the measurement increment so it is between 0.2PE and 2PE.

| Variance Components |          |            |                       |                                     |       |  |
|---------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Component           | Variance | % of Total | Estimates:            |                                     | Sigma |  |
| Repeatability       | 0.0407   | 0.7%       | $\sigma_{\sf pe}^{2}$ | Repeatability (pure error) variance | 0.202 |  |
| Reproducibility     | 0.0514   | 0.8%       | $\sigma_{o}^{2}$      | Reproducibility variance            | 0.227 |  |
| R&R                 | 0.0922   | 1.5%       | $\sigma_e^2$          | Combined R&R variance               | 0.304 |  |
| Product             | 6.158    | 98.5%      | $\sigma_p^2$          | Product variance                    | 2.481 |  |
| Total               | 6.250    |            | $\sigma_x^2$          | Total variance                      | 2.500 |  |

Product variance estimated from the process sigma entered.

 $\sigma_o^2 = s_o^2 - (o/n \circ p)\sigma_{pe}^2$  where  $s_o^2 = variance of operator averages.$  $<math>\sigma_p^2 = \sigma_x^2 - \sigma_e^2$ 

| Intraclass Correlation Coefficients   |        |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (Repeatability) =                        | 0.9934 |  |  |  |  |
| $\rho_{\rm pe} = \sigma_{\rm p}^2 / (\sigma_{\rm p}^2 + \sigma_{\rm pe}^2)$ |        |  |  |  |  |

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (Repeatability & Reproducibility) = 0.9853  $\rho_e = \sigma_p^2 / (\sigma_p^2 + \sigma_e^2)$ 

Type of Class Monitor

Based on Repeatability: This is a First Class Monitor

Based on Repeatability and Reproducibility: This is a First Class Monitor

| ρ          | Type of<br>Monitor      | Reduction of Process<br>Signal <sup>a</sup> | Chance of Detecting ± 3<br>Std. Error Shifts <sup>b</sup> | Ability to Track Process<br>Improvements <sup>c</sup> |
|------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| 0.8 to 1.0 | First Class             | Less than 10%                               | >99% with Rule 1  | Up to Cp80 = 2.22                                     |
| 0.5 to 0.8 | Second Class            | From 10% to 30%                             | >88% with Rule 1  | Up to Cp50 = 3.51                                     |
| 0.2 to 0.5 | Third Class<br>Monitor  | From 30% to 55%                             | >91% with Rules 1, 2, 3, & 4                              | Up to Cp20 = 4.439                                    |
| 0.0 to 0.2 | Fourth Class<br>Monitor | Greater than 55%                            | Rapidly Vanishes  | Unable to Track                                       |

Table reproduced with permission from <u>EMP III Evaluating the Measurement System</u>

by Donald J. Wheeler, Copyright 2006 SPC Press.

 $^{a}$ A signal occurring on a control chart is reduced in strength by 1 - square root of  $ho_{o}$ .

<sup>b</sup>The probability that the measurement process can detect a significant shift.

Rule 1: Point beyond the control limits.

Rule 2: 2 out of 3 consecutive points on the same side of the average are > 1 sigma from the average.

Rule 3: 4 out of 5 consecutive points on the same side of the average are > 2 sigma from the average.

Rule 4:8 consecutive points on the same side of the average.

<sup>c</sup>The process capability where the measurement process will move down to a lower class.

| Watershed Specifications <sup>1</sup> and Precision to Tolerance Ratio |                    |               |               |                        |                              |
|--|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Watershed USL =  | 3.005              |               |               |                        |                              |
| Watershed LSL =  | -3.005             |               |               |                        |                              |
| Watershed Tol. =   | 6.01               |               |               |                        |                              |
|  |                    |               |               |                        |                              |
|  | PE Used to         |               |               | Precision to Tolerance | Precision + Rias to          |
| % Mfg. Specs <sup>2</sup>  | Tighten            | Mfg. LSL $^4$ | Mfg. USL $^4$ | Datio <sup>5</sup>     | Toloranco Batio <sup>6</sup> |
|  | Specs <sup>3</sup> |               |               | Ratio                  | Tolerance Ratio              |
| 85.0%  | 1                  | -2.86877732   | 2.86877732    | 4.53%                  | 6.82%                        |
| 96.0%  | 2                  | -2.73255464   | 2.73255464    | 9.07%                  | 13.64%                       |
| 99.0%  | 3                  | -2.59633196   | 2.59633196    | 13.60%                 | 20.46%                       |
| 99.9%  | 4                  | -2.46010927   | 2.46010927    | 18.13%                 | 27.28%                       |

<sup>1</sup>Watershed specification limits take into account the measurement increment.

Watershed USL = USL + 0.5(measurement increment)

Watershed LSL = LSL - 0.5(measurement increment)

Watershed Tolerance = Watershed USL - Watershed LSL

<sup>2</sup>% *Mfg Specs* is the probability that an item, with a measured value that

falls between the Mfg. LSL and Mfg. USL, conforms to specifications.

<sup>3</sup>*PE Used to Tighten Spec* s is the number of PE units used to reduce the watershed specifications.

<sup>4</sup>*Mfg. LSL* and *Mfg. USL are* the specifications based on the PE adjustments.

Example: 96%, Mfg. LSL = Watershed LSL + 2(PE) and Mfg. USL = Watershed USL - 2(PE)

<sup>5</sup>*Precision to Tolerance Ratio* is the % of the watershed tolerance consumed by the PE adjustment.

Example: For 96% Mfg. Specs, P/T = 4(PE)/Watershed Tolerance

<sup>6</sup>*Precision + Bias to Tolerance Ratio* is the % of the watershed tolerance consumed by the PE adjustment using both the repeatability and reproducibility.